Operational Semantics Exercises CS 4610 — Spring 2017

This Review Set asks you to prepare written answers to questions on operational semantics. Each of the questions has a short answer. You may discuss this Review Set with other students and work on the problems together.

1 Definitions and Background

1.	Define the following terms and give examples where appropriate.
	(a) Environment:
	(b) Store:
	(c) <u>Call-by-value</u> :
	(d) <u>Call-by-reference</u> :
2.	Briefly describe the purpose of operational semantics.
3.	What are the constituent parts of the context in a Cool operation semantics rule? Why is each portion of the context necessary?
4.	How are side-effects modeled by operational semantics?
5.	How is evaluation order enforced by the Cool operational semantics?

2 Operational Semantics

1. Consider these six operational semantics rules:

$$(1) \frac{so, E, S \vdash e_1 : Bool(false), S_1}{so, E, S \vdash \text{while } e_1 \text{ loop } e_2 \text{ pool } : void, S_1} \\ so, E, S \vdash \text{while } e_1 \text{ loop } e_2 \text{ pool } : void, S_1 \\ so, E, S \vdash e_1 : Bool(true), S_1 \\ so, E, S_1 \vdash e_2 : v, S_2 \\ (2) \frac{so, e, S_2 \vdash \text{ while } e_1 \text{ loop } e_2 \text{ pool } : void, S_3}{so, E, S \vdash \text{ while } e_1 \text{ loop } e_2 \text{ pool } : void, S_3} \\ (3) \frac{so, E, S \vdash \text{ while } e_1 \text{ loop } e_2 \text{ pool } : void, S_3}{so, E, S \vdash \text{ id} \leftarrow e : v, S_2} \\ (3) \frac{so, E[l_{new}/id], S_1[v_1/l_{new}] \vdash e_2 : v_2, S_2}{so, E, S \vdash \text{ let } id : T \leftarrow e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : v_2, S_2} \\ (3) \frac{so, E, S \vdash \text{ let } id : T \leftarrow e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : v_2, S_2}{so, E, S \vdash \text{ let } id : T \leftarrow e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : v_2, S_2} \\ (6) \frac{v = \begin{cases} Bool(true) & \text{if } n_1 < n_2 \\ Bool(false) & \text{if } n_1 < n_2 \\ Bool(false) & \text{if } n_1 \geq n_2 \end{cases}}{so, E, S \vdash e_1 < e_2 : v, S_2}$$

Use these rules to construct a derivation for the following piece of code:

```
1 let x : Int <- 2 in
2 while 1 < x loop
3 x <- x - 1
4 pool
```

You may assume reasonable axioms, e.g. it is always true that $so, E, S \vdash 2-1 : Int(1), S$. Start your derivation using the let rule (3) as follows:

$$\frac{so, E, S \vdash 2 : Int(2), S}{so, E, S \vdash bet x : Int \leftarrow 2 \text{ in while } 1 < x \text{ loop } x \leftarrow x - 1 \text{ pool } : void, S_{final}}{so, E, S \vdash bet x : Int \leftarrow 2 \text{ in while } 1 < x \text{ loop } x \leftarrow x - 1 \text{ pool } : void, S_{final}} (3)$$

Note that you only need to expand hypotheses that need to be proved (i.e. those containing \vdash).

2. Suppose we wanted to add arrays to Cool, using the following syntax:

let a:T $[e_1]$ in e_2 Create an array a with size e_1 of Ts, usable in e_2 a $[e_1]$ <- e_2 Assign e_2 to element e_1 in a Get element e of a

Write the operational semantics for these three syntactic constructs. You may find it helpful to think of an array of type T[n] as an object with n attributes of type T.

3. The operational semantics for Cool's while expression show that result of evaluating such an expression is always void.

However, we could have used the following alternative semantics:

- If the loop body executes at least once, the result of the while expression is the result from the *last* iteration of the loop body.
- If the loop body never executes (i.e., the condition is false the first time it is evaluated), then the result of the while expression is void.

For example, consider the following expression:

while
$$(x < 10)$$
 loop $x < -x+1$ pool

The result of this expression would be 10 if, initially, x < 10 or void if $x \ge 10$.

Write new operational rules for the while construct that formalize these alternative semantics.